| The ideaof medieval scribessmechaircal, mindles, sor slavish copyists has come under increasing pressure over the yearsty |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

KH 0 HUF K, DsQwWall- Vasan V Denocetsively critical remarks concerning the calmodic priesthood.

of . That these interpolations belong to Chaucer is doubtful, which means that where DORQJ WKH ZD\ D VFULEH LQ WKH SURFH.MrV RI FRS terms of the date of its execution University MS 100 (formerly Helminghampied 1420/30) is likely the earlies extantmanuscript to contain part to the se interpolated passages (ten out of fourteenlines). In his study of the manuscripts of , Charles Owen suggested that the HelminghaminG LWRU-VRFUUL FIEHGLWDR/U+DUbnight/has/elVFULEI authored the ten spurious lineshipoin that copy of 7 K H 0 H U F (altraus 1/17/06), Dorlock KH GHVFULEHG DV ¶VRIW SRUQRJUDSK\ RI D KLJK HQRXJ the ¶ H G obf Worldon, British Library, MS Harley 17,58,450,60), who copied the linesto the margin of that manuscript (fol. 881)n other words, althougthe authorship of these spurious lines is by no means certain, and throughribes responsible for copying each of these four manuscriptsnay simply have been dutifully following their exemplar(s) when copying out these linesthe fact remains that they nonetheless to include them. Inboth Princeton MS 100 and Wew College MS 31(4) and in the copy of